# SSRIC On-line Winter Meeting

February 13, 2015  
9am to 12pm

Participants: Greg Bohr (San Luis Obispo), Stafford Cox (Long Beach), Rhonda Dugan (Bakersfield), Gilbert Garcia (Los Angeles), Mario Guerrero (Pomona), Matt Jarvis (Fullerton), Kimmy Kee-Rose (Channel Islands), John Korey (at large; non-voting), Tim Kubal (Fresno), John Menary (Dominguez Hills), Jennifer Murphy (Sacramento), Francis Neely (San Francisco), Ed Nelson (at large; non-voting), Ginger Shoulders (San Diego), and Rich Taketa (San Jose).

Guests: Andrew Roderick (San Francisco)

## Minutes from Fall 2014 were approved

## Election of chair, 2015-16

Tasks Involved:

* During summer, to make sure that campuses renew their subscriptions
* Attend and coordinate all 3 meetings

Congratulation to Stafford Cox! He has volunteered to be the new SSRIC chair for next year.

## S4 Planning (Sacramento, May 7)

### Faculty memorial awards

SSRIC emeritus Gene Geisler gave a generous donation last year and this year for student awards.

As per Gene’s request, committee has decided to keep awards at $5,000 awards for top prize: Best Use of Quantitative Data, Best Undergraduate Paper and Best Graduate Paper.

$75 awards for other papers presented, plus an additional $75 travel awards for all campuses except the host campus.

### Efforts to get follow-on funding

Matt trying to get follow-up funding that is more permanent to assist with awards but also help support student travel costs.

Zed Mason, Associate Vice President for Research and Sponsored Programs, CSU Chancellor’s Office, was contacted about providing some funds for student awards. Zed was open to the idea of giving a small amount in the future, but not for this year—need to build it into their budget. May not be much money.

It was suggested that we craft a letter to the Chancellor’s Office to ask them for funding as well as to have them ask IBM-SPSS for sponsorship since we have a system-wide site license for the software. Matt will draft the letter.

Matt also asked his department chair and dean for money for travel grants and they have agreed to support. He is also going to ask provosts and office of research for help with support. He asked for $25 per student who will be attending the conference. Matt suggested each rep ask the same from their campuses.

Francis reported that the subcommittee’s report on funding efforts was not ready. Item will be discussed at Spring meeting.

### Symposium subcommittee recommendations- Policies for 2015 S4

At the Fall 2014 SSRIC meeting, a subcommittee (Murphy, Neely, Korey) was appointed to make recommendations to the Council regarding some unresolved Symposium policy issues. After making some modifications, the Council approved the following:

1. Students enrolled in doctoral programs are eligible for an award only if they have not yet completed the Master’s degree or two years of a doctoral program.
2. In the case of co-authored papers, all authors must be eligible for an award for the presentation to qualify.
3. In the case of a paper authored by at least one undergraduate and at least one graduate student, the paper shall be considered a graduate paper.
4. The Rummels Award should be for the “best use of quantitative data” rather than for the “best paper using quantitative data.”
5. Our preference is that papers be submitted as Word documents, but PDFs are acceptable. Identifying information (name, campus, etc.) is to be included only on a separate cover sheet.
6. We will *not* give students whose papers were selected for a best paper award a heads-up that they are “finalists.”
7. We will *not* increase the page limit from 20 pages for non-quantitative papers.
8. We will *not* experiment with a teleconferenced panel from a remote campus, but may revisit this issue for S4 2016.
9. We will continue to *not* allow community college students to be considered for awards.
10. We will leave as is the current language (“Research that involves human or animal subjects must have appropriate institutional review.”) regarding IRB approval.
11. Participation will be limited to those who are either currently enrolled or who graduated during or after the Fall 2014 term.

Note: as used above, the term “awards” includes minor awards as well as those for best papers.

### Symposium planning committee report

Jennifer reported that her dean will cover the cost of lunch, production of the program, and a student assistant to assist Jennifer. This leaves the $3,500 budgeted by the SSRIC mostly free. It was suggested that we use the money for travel for campuses farthest away from conference.

Jennifer has tentatively scheduled rooms for the conference. She has four, one hour time slots for presentations throughout the day (@112 total presentations). The presentations will go all day and be overlapping with time for food breaks and closing awards.

She asked all campus reps to help publicize and recruit students for conference. It was suggested that Jennifer send an email to the long list about the conference and the reps can then forward out to their campuses.

### Awards Review committee

Membership was established during the Fall meeting. The committee will consist of chair: Francis Neely and readers: Greg Bohr, Rhonda Dugan, Kimmy Kee-Rose, John Korey, Tim Kubal, Ted Lascher, and Regan Maas.

The Awards Committee will need to devise decision rules for making awards. The decision rules from last year were appended to Fall meeting minutes.

## Status of ADA work on SSRIC website

Andrew Roderick (CSU San Francisco) reported that John Korey is working with one of Andrew’s staff , Michel Harper, on bringing the SSRIC website into compliance with ADA regulations. Some of the HTML code has been cleaned up and office docs have been checked for accessibility. Still need help with fixing pdf docs and captioning videos. Michael will follow up with John with an accessibility check and further recommendations for fixing the site.

Andrew stated that accessibility is a requirement for web pages and it should also be about access for all, not just the disabled. Pages should work across browsers and devices. He mentioned that two areas should be considered:

1. Structure of the site—current site uses templates in the content management system (CMS), Drupal. It is over 6 years old and the template is outdated. Should consider redesigning in order to truly fix the site.
2. Content of the site—Andrew suggested that it might be better to have all new content rather than try to edit existing or at the very least that all content going forward is made accessible. A statement could then be added to the site that if anyone had trouble accessing the content, they could contact someone for assistance.

## 

## Campus Workshops

Ed reported that the requests for workshops are down. We do have a number of PowerPoints and videos online. They asked Andrew for his recommendations for help in raising the number of workshop requests.

Andrew recommended expanding the on-line strategy. He suggested recoding some of the in person workshops to put on the web. They should not be longer than 45 minutes. We He also suggested:

* Create short videos that are tasked based. Look at the catalog of offerings and see how can create short videos from there.
* Create a YouTube channel to disseminate the videos through. YouTube also can help captioning videos.
* Make sure to brand the videos and channels properly.
* Should focus content on (1) resources for faculty to use in their research and (2) reusable educational information for faculty to use in the classroom.

Consider the Affordable Learning Solutions Project ([als.csuprojects.org](http://als.csuprojects.org/)) as a partner; look at their site and think about what contributions the SSRIC could make. It is a growing CSU resource center. They offer free textbooks and open education materials. Leslie Kennedy in the chancellor’s office coordinates the group.

Andrew also mentioned offering on-line webinars and use Blackboard Collaborate for hosting the webinar. He suggested we should invite CSU campuses as well as national groups—bring in outside people as outside speakers and then use examples from within the CSU to illustrate the topic. We should work with campus administrator to set up webinar. The link would be public—so don’t need to have individual logins. Also should contact Blackboard and ask for help.

Ed reported interest in workshops soon from Northridge, LA, Humboldt and San Diego; Fullerton next year.

## Budget Update

Ed presented the proposed budget for next year.

The only increase in subscriptions was in Roper @$400.

A 5% increase in membership fees is proposed for each campus. The SSRIC is no longer dependent upon the Chancellor’s office for funding. An increase in fees will allow us to do other things such as help pay travel costs and student awards,

There was discussion about dropping Field (cost next year $64,000) or Roper (cost next year $22,500) access since costs were high and usage was low.

Matt asked reps to consider budget:

* Will 5% increase be ok?
* Are the field and roper polls used on your campus?

## Data Utilization

**ICPSR** usage is up 71% from last year (Q1-4). See chart below.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Q1-2 (2014-15)** | **Q1-2 (2013-14)** | **Q1-2 (2012-13)** | **Q1-4 (2013-14)** |
| Bakersfield | 89 | 89 | 30 | 138 |
| Channel Islands | 19 | 20 | 1 | 45 |
| Chico | 166 | 332 | 101 | 392 |
| Dominguez Hills | 8 | 154 | 0 | 340 |
| East Bay | 2 | 7 | 26 | 7 |
| Fresno | 634 | 293 | 16 | 445 |
| Fullerton | 1394 | 728 | 137 | 1,061 |
| Humboldt | 113 | 24 | 14 | 37 |
| Long Beach | 659 | 531 | 532 | 1,664 |
| Los Angeles | 110 | 4 | 0 | 27 |
| Monterey Bay | 5 | 0 | 18 | 0 |
| Northridge | 912 | 799 | 602 | 2,676 |
| Pomona | 2 | 24 | 248 | 521 |
| Sacramento | 137 | 182 | 283 | 380 |
| San Bernardino | 1 | 0 | 2 | 95 |
| San Diego | 398 | 186 | 678 | 271 |
| San Francisco | 1364 | 24 | 75 | 106 |
| San Jose | 10 | 16 | 20 | 58 |
| San Luis Obispo | 92 | 9 | 96 | 59 |
| San Marcos | 71 | 27 | 44 | 83 |
| Sonoma | 20 | 6 | 24 | 53 |
| Stanislaus | 44 | 10 | 69 | 306 |
| **Total** | 6250 | 3465 | 3016 | 8764 |
| **71% of last year (Q1-4)** | | | | |

Fresno reports an usually high number of downloads for field (1586 downloads). **Field** says downloads are not an error but download must be computer generated. If we ignore the numbers from Fresno then usage is down from last year. See chart below.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Q1-2 (2014-15)** | **Q1-2 (2013-14)** | **Q1-2 (2012-13)** | **Q1-4 (2013-14)** |
| Bakersfield | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Channel Islands | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Chico | 31 | 184 | 87 | 184 |
| Dominguez Hills | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| East Bay | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| Fresno | 1586 | 86 | 5 | 110 |
| Fullerton | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Humboldt | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Long Beach | 16 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Los Angeles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Monterey Bay | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Northridge | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pomona | 0 | 0 | 0 | 115 |
| Sacramento | 3 | 35 | 0 | 36 |
| San Bernardino | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| San Diego | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| San Francisco | 1 | 0 | 46 | 10 |
| San Jose | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 |
| San Luis Obispo | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| San Marcos | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sonoma | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Stanislaus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Total** | 1637 | 305 | 161 | 496 |
| **330% of last year (Q1-4)** | | | | |

**Roper** and **iPoll** is slightly up from last year, but usage still low. See charts below.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ***Roper*** | **Q1-2 (2014-15)** | **Q1-2 (2013-14)** | **Q1-2 (2012-13)** | **Q1-4 (2013-14)** |
| Bakersfield | 1 | 1 | 94 | 5 |
| Channel Islands | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Chico | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 |
| Dominguez Hills | 3 | 41 | 137 | 44 |
| East Bay | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fresno | 286 | 107 | 10 | 260 |
| Fullerton | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Humboldt | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Long Beach | 5 | 42 | 21 | 42 |
| Los Angeles | 0 | 28 | 0 | 48 |
| Monterey Bay | 0 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| Northridge | 0 | 5 | 21 | 6 |
| Pomona | 1 | 0 | 5 | 28 |
| Sacramento | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| San Bernardino | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| San Diego | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| San Francisco | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| San Jose | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| San Luis Obispo | 0 | 22 | 10 | 22 |
| San Marcos | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 |
| Sonoma | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Stanislaus | 16 | 0 | 31 | 382 |
| **Total** | 327 | 252 | 340 | 850 |
| **38% of last year (Q1-4)** | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ***iPOLL*** |  |  |  |
|  | **Q1-2 (2014-15)** | **Q1-2 (2013-14)** | **Q1-4 (2013-14)** |
| Bakersfield | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| Channel Islands | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Chico | 0 | 28 | 33 |
| Dominguez Hills | 13 | 12 | 12 |
| East Bay | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fresno | 202 | 32 | 77 |
| Fullerton | 0 | 5 | 11 |
| Humboldt | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Long Beach | 13 | 9 | 9 |
| Los Angeles | 0 | 17 | 17 |
| Monterey Bay | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Northridge | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| Pomona | 7 | 0 | 19 |
| Sacramento | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| San Bernardino | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| San Diego | 25 | 0 | 0 |
| San Francisco | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| San Jose | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| San Luis Obispo | 11 | 2 | 9 |
| San Marcos | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sonoma | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Stanislaus | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| **Total** | 280 | 109 | 193 |
| **145% of last year (Q1-4)** | | | |

## Field Faculty Fellowship

Ed reported that the Field Faculty Fellowship applications were due on April 15.

There will be four reviewers: Alexandra Cole (Northridge), Susan Garfin (Sonoma), Katy Pinto (Dominguez Hills), and Bruce Brown (Pomona). If the faculty member who submitted their application is from the same campus as one of the reviewers, then that reviewer will not judge the application.

The Council will decide who the Field fellow will be at the Spring meeting.

## ICPSR Summer Program

Greg reported that ICPSR will no longer provide our two faculty fee waivers. There are a number of scholarships available. Please see the ICPSR Financial Support page at [www.icpsr.umich.edu/icpsrweb/content/sumprog/scholarships](http://www.icpsr.umich.edu/icpsrweb/content/sumprog/scholarships)

## Informational Items

### Email lists

Campus reps are asked to look at email list for their campuses and clean up any person no longer at their school. It was suggested that we add deans to the lists.

You can manage the lists at [www.ssric.org/login](http://www.ssric.org/login)

### Subscription Renewal lists

Campus reps are asked to make sure that contact information is correct. Matt will send an email to group with all of the renewal contacts in it.

### Newsletter

The Spring 15 newsletter can be found at [ww.ssric.org/files/newsletter\_spring\_2015.docx](http://www.ssric.org/files/newsletter_spring_2015.docx).

### ICPSR Meeting

The priority list for the 2015 ICPSR OR meeting is:

1. San Jose
2. San Bernardino
3. Bakersfield
4. San Francisco
5. Los Angeles
6. San Luis Obispo
7. Sacramento
8. Northridge
9. East Bay
10. Stanislaus
11. Monterey Bay
12. San Marcos
13. Dominguez Hills
14. Long Beach
15. Channel Islands
16. Humboldt
17. San Diego
18. Sonoma
19. Chico
20. Pomona
21. Fresno
22. Fullerton