CODEBOOK: GSS 1972-2014 Cumulative Subset (gsscum_codebook.sav)


General Notes:

1. To facilitate analysis for the exercises in the “Longitudinal Analysis” topic, all attitudinal and behavioral variables in this subset have been recoded to form dichotomies, with valid values of 0 and 100. Except for Party ID, variables were recoded to divide respondents into groups that are roughly equal in size.

2. Due to an insufficient number of cases (fewer than 100), the GI Generation cohort (those born 1927 or earlier) has been excluded for surveys after 2006; for the same reason, the Generation X cohort (those born from 1965 through 1981) has been excluded for surveys prior to 1987 and the Millennium Generation cohort (those born after 1981) has been excluded for surveys prior to 2004.

3. Except for weight, cohort, and ethnic, variable names and variable labels are taken from the full GSS cumulative file.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>LABEL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>weight:</td>
<td>SAMPLE WEIGHT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>year:</td>
<td>YEAR SURVEYED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>age:</td>
<td>AGE OF RESPONDENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cohort:</td>
<td>AGE COHORT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>degree:</td>
<td>RESPONDENT’S HIGHEST DEGREE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>educ:</td>
<td>HIGHEST YEAR OF SCHOOL COMPLETED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sex:</td>
<td>RESPONDENT’S SEX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ethnic:</td>
<td>RACE/ETHNICITY OF RESPONDENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>marital:</td>
<td>MARITAL STATUS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>class:</td>
<td>SUBJECTIVE CLASS IDENTIFICATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>region:</td>
<td>REGION OF INTERVIEW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>relig:</td>
<td>RESPONDENT’S RELIGIOUS PREFERENCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>attend:</td>
<td>HOW OFTEN RESPONDENT ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>polviews:</td>
<td>THINK OF SELF AS LIBERAL OR CONSERVATIVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>partyid:</td>
<td>POLITICAL PARTY AFFILIATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>news:</td>
<td>HOW OFTEN DOES R READ NEWSPAPER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tvhours:</td>
<td>HOURS PER DAY WATCHING TV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eqwlth:</td>
<td>SHOULD GOVT REDUCE INCOME DIFFERENCES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nat spac:</td>
<td>SPACE EXPLORATION PROGRAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nat envir:</td>
<td>IMPROVING &amp; PROTECTING ENVIRONMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nat heal:</td>
<td>IMPROVING &amp; PROTECTING NATIONS HEALTH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nat city:</td>
<td>SOLVING PROBLEMS OF BIG CITIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nat crime:</td>
<td>HALTING RISING CRIME RATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nat drug:</td>
<td>DEALING WITH DRUG ADDICTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nat educ:</td>
<td>IMPROVING NATION’S EDUCATION SYSTEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nat trace:</td>
<td>IMPROVING THE CONDITIONS OF BLACKS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NAME | LABEL
--- | ---
natarms: | MILITARY, ARMAMENTS, AND DEFENSE
nataid: | FOREIGN AID
nafare: | WELFARE
abany: | ABORTION IF WOMAN WANTS FOR ANY REASON
cappun: | FAVOR OR OPPOSE DEATH PENALTY FOR MURDER
colhomo: | ALLOW HOMOSEXUAL TO TEACH
fepol: | WOMEN NOT SUITED FOR POLITICS
grass: | SHOULD MARIJUANA BE MADE LEGAL
gunlaw: | FAVOR OR OPPOSE GUN PERMITS
racmar: | FAVOR LAW AGAINST RACIAL INTERMARRIAGE
marhomo: | HOMOSEXUALS SHOULD HAVE RIGHT TO MARRY
conpress: | CONFIDENCE IN PRESS
contv: | CONFIDENCE IN TELEVISION
conjudge: | CONFID. IN UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT
confed: | CONFID. IN EXEC BRANCH OF FED GOVT
conlegis: | CONFIDENCE IN CONGRESS
helpful: | PEOPLE HELPFUL OR LOOKING OUT FOR SELVES
fair: | PEOPLE FAIR OR TRY TO TAKE ADVANTAGE
trust: | CAN PEOPLE BE TRUSTED
voted: | RESPONDENT VOTED IN MOST RECENT PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION

weight: SAMPLE WEIGHT

This variable is used to correct for known or estimated sampling biases, including those resulting from household size. The weight variable used here is a composite of "formwt," "oversamp" and "wtssall" in the original data. For more information, see Appendix A of the GSS codebook.

year: YEAR SURVEYED

age: AGE OF RESPONDENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>89 OR OLDER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>DK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
cohort: AGE COHORT

1  GI Generation (born 1927 or earlier)
2  Silent Generation (born 1928-1945 Baby Boomers(born 1946-1964)
3  Generation X (born 1965-1981)
4  Millennium Generation(born 1982 or later)
5  GI Generation, but surveyed after 2006, or Generation X, but surveyed prior to 1987, or Millennium Generation, but surveyed prior to 2004

Note: Respondents whose age was coded as 89 or older are assumed to have been 89 years old. In a few cases, this may have resulted in respondents being assigned to a later cohort than was actually the case.

degree: RESPONDENT’S HIGHEST DEGREE

0  LT HIGH SCHOOL
1  HIGH SCHOOL
2  JUNIOR COLLEGE
3  BACHELOR
4  GRADUATE
7  IAP
8  DK
9  NA

educ: HIGHEST YEAR OF SCHOOL COMPLETED

97  IAP
98  DK
99  NA

sex: RESPONDENT’S SEX

1  MALE
2  FEMALE

ethnic: RACE/ETHNICITY OF RESPONDENT
1 WHITE  
2 AFRICAN AMERICAN  
3 HISPANIC  
4 OTHER 

marital: MARITAL STATUS  

1 MARRIED  
2 WIDOWED  
3 DIVORCED  
4 SEPARATED  
5 NEVER MARRIED  
9 NA 

class: SUBJECTIVE CLASS IDENTIFICATION  

0 IAP  
1 LOWER CLASS  
2 WORKING CLASS  
3 MIDDLE CLASS  
4 UPPER CLASS  
5 NO CLASS  
8 DK  
9 NA 

region: REGION OF INTERVIEW  

0 NOT ASSIGNED  
1 NEW ENGLAND  
2 MIDDLE ATLANTIC  
3 E. NOR. CENTRAL  
4 W. NOR. CENTRAL  
5 SOUTH ATLANTIC  
6 E. SOU. CENTRAL  
7 W. SOU. CENTRAL  
8 MOUNTAIN  
9 PACIFIC
relig: REPONDENT’S RELIGIOUS PREFERENCE

1 PROTESTANT
2 CATHOLIC
3 JEWISH
4 NONE
5 OTHER
6 BUDDHISM
7 HINDUISM
8 OTHER EASTERN
9 MOSLEM/ISLAM
10 ORTHODOX-CHRISTIAN
11 CHRISTIAN
12 NATIVE AMERICAN
13 INTER-NONDENOMINATIONAL
0 IAP
98 DK
99 NA

attend: HOW OFTEN RESPONDENT ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES

0 NEVER
1 LT ONCE A YEAR
2 ONCE A YEAR
3 SEVRL TIMES A YR
4 ONCE A MONTH
5 2-3X A MONTH
6 NRLY EVERY WEEK
7 EVERY WEEK
8 MORE THN ONCE WK
9 DK, NA

polviews: THINK OF SELF AS LIBERAL OR CONSERVATIVE

We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives. I'm going to show you a seven-point scale on which the political views that people might hold are arranged from extremely liberal--point 1--to extremely conservative--point 7. Where would you place yourself on this scale?

0 Liberal or Moderate
100 Conservative
partyid: POLITICAL PARTY AFFILIATION

Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a Republican, Democrat, Independent, or what?

0  Republican (including "leaners")
100  Democrat ("including "leaners")
sysmis  Independent or other

news: DOES R READ NEWSPAPER DAILY

0  No
100  Yes

tvhours: DOES R WATCH TV > 2 HRS/DAY?

0  No
100  Yes

eqwlth: SHOULD GOVT REDUCE INCOME DIFFERENCES

Some people think that the government in Washington ought to reduce the income differences between the rich and the poor, perhaps by raising the taxes of wealthy families or by giving income assistance to the poor. Others think that the government should not concern itself with reducing this income difference between the rich and the poor. Here is a card with a scale from 1 to 7. Think of a score of 1 as meaning that the government ought to reduce the income differences between rich and poor, and a score of 7 meaning that the government should not concern itself with reducing income differences. What score between 1 and 7 comes closest to the way you feel? (CIRCLE ONE):

0  No (originally coded 4-7)
100  Yes (originally coded 1-3)

natspac: SPACE EXPLORATION PROGRAM
We are faced with many problems in this country, none of which can be solved easily or inexpensively. I'm going to name some of these problems, and for each one I'd like you to tell me whether you think we're spending too much money on it, too little money, or about the right amount. First (READ ITEM A) . . . are we spending too much, too little, or about the right amount on (ITEM)?

A. Space exploration program

0 Too much
100 About right or too little

B. Improving and protecting the environment

0 Too much or about right
100 Too little

C. Improving and protecting the nation's health

0 Too much or about right
100 Too little

D. Solving the problems of the big cities

We are faced with many problems in this country, none of which can be solved easily or inexpensively. I'm going to name some of these problems, and for each one I'd like you to tell me whether you think we're spending too much money on it, too little money, or about the right amount. First (READ ITEM A) . . . are we spending too much, too little, or about the right amount on (ITEM)?
We are faced with many problems in this country, none of which can be solved easily or inexpensively. I'm going to name some of these problems, and for each one I'd like you to tell me whether you think we're spending too much money on it, too little money, or about the right amount. First (READ ITEM A) . . . are we spending too much, too little, or about the right amount on (ITEM)?

E. Halting the rising crime rate

0 Too much or about right
100 Too little

F. Dealing with drug addiction

0 Too much or about right
100 Too little

G. Improving the nation's education system

0 Too much or about right
100 Too little

I. Improving the conditions of blacks

0 Too much or about right
100 Too little
We are faced with many problems in this country, none of which can be solved easily or inexpensively. I'm going to name some of these problems, and for each one I'd like you to tell me whether you think we're spending too much money on it, too little money, or about the right amount. First (READ ITEM A) . . . are we spending too much, too little, or about the right amount on (ITEM)?

H. Improving the conditions of Blacks

0 Too much or about right
100 Too little

I. The military, armaments and defense

0 Too much
100 About right or too little

J. Foreign aid

0 Too much
100 About right or too little

K. Welfare

0 Too much
100 About right or too little
Too much
100 About right or too little

abany: ABORTION IF WOMAN WANTS FOR ANY REASON

Please tell me whether or not you think it should be possible for a pregnant woman to obtain a legal abortion if . . . the woman wants it for any reason?

0 No
100 Yes

cappun: FAVOR OR OPPOSE DEATH PENALTY FOR MURDER

Do you favor or oppose the death penalty for persons convicted of murder?

0 Oppose
100 Favor

colhomo: ALLOW HOMOSEXUAL TO TEACH

And what about a man who admits that he is a homosexual? Should such a person be allowed to teach in a college or university, or not?

0 No
100 Yes

fepol: WOMEN NOT SUITED FOR POLITICS

Tell me if you agree or disagree with this statement: Most men are better suited emotionally for politics than are most women.

0 Disagree
100 Agree

grass: SHOULD MARIJUANA BE MADE LEGAL
Do you think the use of marijuana should be made legal or not?

0 No
100 Yes

gunlaw: FAVOR OR OPPOSE GUN PERMITS

Would you favor or oppose a law which would require a person to obtain a police permit before he or she could buy a gun?

0 Oppose
100 Favor

racmar: FAVOR LAW AGAINST RACIAL INTERMARRIAGE

Do you think there should be laws against marriages between (Negroes/Blacks/African-Americans) and whites?

0 No
100 Yes

marhomo: HOMOSEXUALS SHOULD HAVE RIGHT TO MARRY

Do you agree or disagree ... homosexual couples should have the right to marry one another?

0 Disagree
100 Agree or neither agree nor disagree

conpress: CONFIDENCE IN PRESS

I am going to name some institutions in this country. As far as the people running these institutions are concerned, would you say you have a great deal of confidence, only some confidence, or hardly any confidence at all in them? . . . G. Press

0 Hardly any
100 A great deal or only some
contv: CONFIDENCE IN TELEVISION

I am going to name some institutions in this country. As far as the people running these institutions are concerned, would you say you have a great deal of confidence, only some confidence, or hardly any confidence at all in them? . . . I. TV

0 Hardly any
100 A great deal or only some

conjudge: CONFIDENCE IN UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT

I am going to name some institutions in this country. As far as the people running these institutions are concerned, would you say you have a great deal of confidence, only some confidence, or hardly any confidence at all in them? . . . J. U.S. Supreme Court

0 Only come or hardly any
100 A great deal

confed: CONFIDENCE IN EXEC BRANCH OF FED GOVT

I am going to name some institutions in this country. As far as the people running these institutions are concerned, would you say you have a great deal of confidence, only some confidence, or hardly any confidence at all in them? . . . E. Executive branch of the federal government

0 Hardly any
100 A great deal or only some

conlegis: CONFIDENCE IN CONGRESS

I am going to name some institutions in this country. As far as the people running these institutions are concerned, would you say you have a great deal of confidence, only some confidence, or hardly any confidence at all in them? . . . L. Congress

0 Hardly any
100 A great deal or only some

helpful: ARE MOST PEOPLE NOT HELPFUL?
Would you say that most of the time people try to be helpful, or that they are mostly just looking out for themselves?

0 Look out for self or depends
100 Helpful

---

fair: ARE MOST PEOPLE FAIR?

Do you think most people would try to take advantage of you if they got a chance, or would they try to be fair?

0 Take advantage or depends
100 Helpful

---

trust: ARE MOST PEOPLE TRUSTWORTHY?

Generally speaking, would you say that most people can be trusted or that you can't be too careful in life.

0 Not trustworthy
100 Trustworthy or depends

---

voted: RESPONDENT VOTED IN MOST RECENT PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION

0 No
100 Yes

---
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