SSRIC SPRING MEETING
CSUS'APRIL 30, 1993

Present: Dan Graves (Hayward), John Korey (Pomona), Jay

Emenhiser (Humboldt), Jon Ebeling (Chico), Carole Barnes (CSUS), Penny Crane (IRT), Jim Ross (Bakersfield), Ed Nelson (Fresno), Larry Giventer (Stanislaus)

Absent: Everyone else.

1 Announcements

Don Carder will pay travel for one meeting a year. Submit all receipts to him. We are assuming that this privilege is not extended to those representing campuses that don't subscribe.

2. Election of new chair

The question was raised whether we continue rotation of the chair; to date, we have never had a repeat. Or, do we choose a rebuilding year and reprise an old hand. There is nothing in the by-laws to prevent someone from serving twice. There are four meetings a year that the chair needs to attend. Harris will pay travel for the chair. Meetings have been in Long Beach, but will probably rotate in the future. Another possibility might be to bifurcate the roles? One person would chair the SSRIC and another would serve on the committee. Nominations were held. Jay is chair-elect; Ed is chair. Unanimous.

2. Field Report

Ed shared the Ben Bowser report. He sent memos re faculty fellows and student interns. We had a student, Jason Scribner from SLO at Field Institute during winter quarter. He's a social science major with lots of stat. Dick recommended him highly. Also had a recommendation from his stat prof. There is an economics major/stat minor from Chico, Rick Samayoa, who wants to go in the summer. He knows lots of stat pkgs. There would still be an opening for the fall. If UC hasn't sent someone, we could get them in. Moved, seconded, passed.

There was one application for faculty fellow. Jon Ebeling and Shockley. MSP.

New question credits become available in July. Jay Emenhiser has proposed replicating Andrew Greeley's work on prayer. A set on the religious right will be offered to Mark. There are actually seven questions. Merv is usually committed to running 12 questions. But if he likes the topic he'll do more. MSP. Ed noted that we had the fewest applications this year for Field. Symptomatic of the year.

3. Penny's report

a) At the last meeting of AIRC they approved the motion to hold $236,000 in reserve in support of discipline councils, etc. The $236,000 was the maintenance money for the 960; so that money was used to supplement the specialty centers for two years. At the time, the Presidents were told they would get it back. We decided to hold it centrally and not let it go back to the campuses. Some of the IRMS have come to realize their dependence on the specialty centers. So they didn't fight this.

For 93-94 year, $68,000 of that money would be used to pay for business data bases. To support social science data bases at current levels of costs out of central funds. The $95,000 to pay for Field and ICPSR will be in Tony Moy's budget. This year, the $95,000 will go for social science dbs. IRT will issue an RFP in June to spend the other 160,000. Penny will write it and it will go to the exec comm of AIRC. There will be three categories you can apply for in this rfp: 1) new specialty centers; 2) faculty development; and 3) a small pot of money that the discipline councils can apply for to give them travel money. Money for retreats, etc. The discipline councils are all in disarray. Even the biologists and chemists haven't gotten much support.

We could do a census workshop with Larry Hugg (U.S. Census person) with the faculty development monies, possibly combining this with a workshop for state employees. We sherd also apply for the council monies. \*\*

Penny briefly discussed the Academic Information Resource Council (the ARC has two of everything). Two subcommittees: ACNC (Academic communications network committee) and ACCESS (Academic Computing etc???) Got themselves four spots on the networking committee. We've got more hearing than we've had in years. Another committee: Learning Resources and Info Technology (CLERIT). The Chancellor's committee: people are there to represent constituencies and not campuses. There are a couple of veeps, academic senate and union reps, etc. There are three areas of interest: distance ed, library of the future, other initiatives. The DELTA project is looking at alternative forms of delivering education. CLRIT is looking at turn of the century, whereas ARC is year to year. The chancellor has promised them 2.9 million over the next 3 years for CLRIT's operation.

If you want to know what's happening with CLRIT, you can

log into Gopher. Begin by logging into telnet. Then type: [eis.calstate.edu](http://eis.calstate.edu) and you will get a gopher menu. Item 14 on that menu is DELTA. This has all the meeting agendas, summaries, hard copy documents. You can access other gophers, all over the world. For example, VERONICA works with gopher; you can give key words and it will search the key words. Penny and Ed both recommended a book very highly. It is: The Whole Internet: Users Guide and Catalog by Ed Krol. It has chapters on everything.

There is a new project called Jericho: the object is to remove walls between faculty and technology. May have a workshop in the fall to train people to train others in use of multimedia. Penny will send info on Jericho. Finally, the Institute for Teaching and Learning is doing a fall conference in San

Jose. They are interested in anything that is discipline based.

1. ICPSR MEETING FOR NEXT FALL.

The Chair goes. The question was raised whether the chair then loses his/her spot in the rotation? The answer: no, the school retains its position on the list. LA, Northridge, SF, Stanislaus, Chico, Hayward. San Diego drops down to the last spot because they don't attend the meetings. We send 5 and pay full cost. Ed, Ted, Gene, new appointee at SF, Larry, Jon, Dan.

1. Research Conference.

Several ideas were suggested for improving the conference. One was to contact faculty before they put their syllabi together. John K. thought it would be better to have shorter sessions in classes. We need to let people know that the host campus comes up with the two award $$S. Also, set the paper deadline one week before the conference and continue to fedex papers to the committee ahead of time. The sentiment favored staying with article length papers (# of pages unspecified).

There were seven papers submitted for the awards. Ed listened to some unsubmitted papers that were better. One reason so few were submitted is the just introduced limit on length. Although the readers' need to review papers limited in length is understandable, that requirement effectively discourages many students whose papers, written to fulfill a specific class requirement, are too long. Given work and other demands on their time, they won't rewrite a paper just for the conference. It is hard enough to talk them into presenting it -- since the experience is totally unfamiliar to them.

Meeting dates for next year:

Oct 28 - 29, Fresno

Feb. 17 - 18, San Jose

April 28 - 29, Dominguez Hills

1. Improving SSRIC

Several ideas were discussed for improving SSRIC. Among them:

1. Publish the better student papers. This would be a good proposal for ITL. We could have an occasional papers series. We could publish the award papers, non-award paper, other materials or instructional aids developed by the council
2. Annual reports are important.
3. Invite other faculty from our campuses to the workshops associated with our meetings. Plan these out and develop as full blown workshops. These might include Non-data base access: Lexus/Nexus demonstrations or SPSS for Windows.
4. STATA easy for students to learn. Larry and Jon could do STATA demonstrations on campuses.
5. Lobby to get Social Science Citation Index as part of SSDBA. Person who negotiates Lexus/nexus etc. is working out a deal with UC because they want our buying power. Jay thinks this would be a draw to membership. Librarians would support campus dues in SSDBA if this were in there.
6. Develop a brochure to hand out to faculty. Ted volunteered to do this.
7. There are three campuses where we don't have an OR: San Diego, SF, SLO. So we should work on getting people appointed.
8. Repeating the student survey that Bruce developed. Can we use the module money to reproduce it? SF, SLO, Fresno, Humboldt, and San Diego were the campuses involved. The money is in Ellie's foundation account. MSP. Jim will let us know how much.

7. Other issues

A committee was reappointed for the summer ICPSR. Ed and Ellie are the field committee; Larry would replace Richard, if he is agreeable.

Ed brought up the SIMS modules. Wanted to know the procedure for getting new modules approved. Ed and Liz were given permission to develop a new one. Would anyone else like to do more SIMS modules? Penny said we could get some money for this. Send proposals to the previous committee.

Rich DeLeon has a users’ manual on stata.

Respectfully submitted,
Carole Barnes

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Fall, | 1993 | ICPSR Biannual MeetingFall, 1995 |
| 1. | Los Angeles | 1. | Los Angeles |
| 2. | Northridge | 1.
 | Chico |
| 1.
 | San Francisco | 3. | San Luis Obispo |
| 4. | Stanislaus | 4. | San Jose |
| 5. | Chico | 5. | Long Beach |
| 6. | Hayward | 6. | Dominguez Hills |
| 7. | San Luis Obispo | 7. | San Bernardino |
| 8. | San Jose | 8. | Pomona |
| 9. | Long Beach | 9. | Fullerton |
| 10. | Dominguez Hills | 10. | Bakersfield |
| 11. | San Bernardino | 11. | San Marcos |
| 12. | Pomona | 12. | Sacramento |
| 13. | Fullerton | 13. | Sonoma |
| 14. | Bakersfield | 14. | Humboldt |
| 15. | San Marcos | 15. | Fresno |
| 16. | Sacramento | 16. | San Diego |
| 17. | Sonoma | 17. | Northridge |
| 18. | Humboldt | 18. | San Francisco |
| 19. | Fresno | 19. | Stanislaus |
| 20. | San Diego | 20. | Hayward |

Attending, 1993

Fresno (as chair),

Hayward, Northridge,

San Francisco, Stanislaus